EXHIBIT 5

Filings (0) Negative Treatment (4) History (0) Citing References (1,041) ▼ Table of Authorities KeyCite. Citing References (141) 1-50 > Sort By: Depth: Highest First > Select all items • No items selected Filters Content types < Content type: Cases (141) Treatment Title Date 💠 Depth ▼ Headnote (s) Select multiple filters Examined by 1. Xeta, Inc. v. Atex, Inc. " Aug. 06, Case 1 1987 Clear 8 2 6 825 F.2d 604, 606+, 1st Cir.(N.H.) Search within results F.2d Q Manufacturer of computer system for newspaper and printing industries brought unfair competition and antitrust law action Jurisdiction against competitor alleging competitor had illegally tied... Narrow Jurisdiction Mar. 01, Case Examined by 2. In re Princo Corp. " 4 2007 5 Federal 140 478 F.3d 1345, 1352+, Fed.Cir.(N.Y.) 6 33 F.2d **→** District Courts PATENTS - Writs. Competitor demonstrated 107 that right to mandamus relief, staying district + State 1 court's patent infringement proceeding. Examined by 3. Wang Laboratories Inc. v. Mitsubishi Mar. 24, Case 4 Date + Electronics America Inc. 33 1993 6 Depth of Treatment 7 **Headnote Topics** 1993 WL 574424, *4+, C.D.Cal. F.2d Treatment Status On December 7, 1992, the following motions came before the Court for argument: (1) Wang Laboratories, Inc.'s Motion for Issuance of a Reported Status Scheduling Order; (2) Wang Laboratories,... Examined by 4. Implant Innovations, Inc. v. Nobelpharma Oct. 02, ___ 6 AB " 1996 7 8 8 1996 WL 568791, *2+, N.D.Ill. F.2d Plaintiff Implant Innovations, Inc. ("3i") brought a four count Third Amended Complaint ("Complaint") against Defendant Nobelpharma AB ("NAB"), alleging a violation Examined by 5. IGT v. Alliance Gaming Corporation "" May 29, Case 4 2008 60 6 7 2008 WL 11450898, *1+, D.Nev. F.2d This matter comes before the Court on Plaintiff IGT's Motion for Bifurcation of Patent and Antitrust Claims (#747) and Motion for Protective Order (#771). The Court has considered... Examined by 6. Hunter Douglas, Inc. v. Comfortex Corp. Mar. 03, Case 1 1999 6 \$ 7 44 F.Supp.2d 145, 147+, N.D.N.Y. F.2d Patentee brought infringement action. Alleged infringer filed antitrust counterclaims. Parties filed motions for separation of issues at trial. The District Court, Kahn, J., held...

EXHIBIT 6

In re Theo ៤ នៃ ខ្មែរ មិន ខ្មែរ មិន 19378-MN-JLH Document 51-1 Filed 03/03/23 Page 4 of 4 PageID #: 3056 United States Court of Appeals, Federal Circuit. • May 18, 1992 • 972 F.2d 1352 • 1992 WL 188908 (Table) (Approx. 3 pages) Document Filings (0) Negative Treatment (0) History (9) Citing References (45) ▼ Table of Authorities KevCite Citing References (5) 1-5 Sort By: Depth: Highest First > Select all items • No items selected Content types Filters Treatment Title Date \$ Type Depth * Headnote Content type: Cases (5) (s) Select multiple filters 1. Malibu Boats, LLC v. MasterCraft Boat Discussed by Oct. 28, Case Company, LLC 33 2016 Clear 60 Search within results 2016 WL 8317032, *2+, E.D.Tenn. Q This civil case is before the Court on the parties' Joint Motion to Bifurcate and Stay Jurisdiction Discovery and Trial Regarding MasterCraft's Antitrust Counterclaim [Doc. 42]. The Court Narrow Jurisdiction has... Discussed by 2. j2 Global Communications, Inc. v. Captaris, Apr. 16, Inc. " Federal 5 → District Courts 2009 WL 10672155, *3+, E.D.Tex. 5 Before the Court is Plaintiff j2 Global Date Communications, Inc.'s ("j2") Motion to Bifurcate Trial of, and Stay Discovery Related Depth of Treatment to, Defendant's Antitrust and State-law Restraint of... Treatment Status

8

L.L.C.

8

8

3. Audio MPEG, Inc. v. Dell Inc. 🔰

254 F.Supp.3d 798, 804+, E.D.Va.

and patent infringement claims.

414 F.Supp.2d 1007, 1014+, D.Colo.

5. Ecrix Corp. v. Exabyte Corp.

191 F.R.D. 611, 614, D.Colo.

antitrust counterclaim.

owned subsidiary.

PATENTS — Inequitable Conduct. Factors of judicial economy and convenience weighed in favor of bifurcating antitrust counterclaims

4. Climax Molybdenum Co. v. Molychem,

ANTITRUST - Monopolies. Competitor could maintain claims under § 2 of Sherman Act against parent corporation and its wholly-

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY - Bifurcation. Patent infringement claim was bifurcated from

May 31,

Dec. 06,

Mar. 10,

2000

2005

2017

Case

Case

Case

Discussed by

Cited by

Cited by

Reported Status